



Cite this: *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**, 5890

Received 20th June 2014,

Accepted 14th July 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4py00859f

www.rsc.org/polymers

Indium-catalyzed polycyclotrimerization of diynes: a facile route to prepare regioregular hyperbranched polyarylenes†

Zhe Wang,^a Yang Shi,^a Jian Wang,^a Lingzhi Li,^a Haiqiang Wu,^a Bicheng Yao,^a Jing Zhi Sun,^a Anjun Qin^{*a,b} and Ben Zhong Tang^{*a,b,c}

The first example of InCl_3 -catalyzed polycyclotrimerization of diynes to generate regioregular hyperbranched polymers was developed. The $\text{InCl}_3/2$ -iodophenol-catalyzed polycyclotrimerization of aromatic/aliphatic diynes in chlorobenzene readily furnished soluble 1,3,5-trisubstituted benzene-cored hyperbranched polyarylenes with high weight-averaged molecular weights (up to 37 200) in high yields (up to 87.8%). Thanks to its low cost and moisture-tolerance, this catalytic system is promising to be widely applied in preparation of regioregular functional hyperbranched polymers with unique properties.

Hyperbranched polymers (HBPs) are a new kind of macromolecules showing architectural beauty and versatile functionality as dendrimers.¹ The unique size-, shape-, and surface-specific properties of HBPs make them applicable in diverse areas including chemical sensing, micellar mimetic, gene delivery, supramolecular assembly, molecular antenna systems, *etc.*, and thus have drawn much attention in recent years.² Generally, the HBPs could be prepared by the self-condensation polymerizations of AB_n -type monomers ($n \geq 2$) via a one-pot, single-step process.³ This strategy is, however, limited by the difficulty of monomer preparation and its self-oligomerization during storage.⁴ To overcome these obstacles, an alternative method, polymerization of A_2 and B_n ($n \geq 2$) co-monomers, was developed. This method, however, suffers from the

strict requirement of the stoichiometric balance of the comonomers.⁵

To surmount these drawbacks, a new strategy of polycyclotrimerization (PCT) of A_n ($n \geq 2$)-type diynes to produce HBPs was developed.⁶ Many transition metal systems such as palladium, ruthenium, cobalt, nickel, and rhodium have been employed to catalyze the PCTs.⁷ However, they are either expensive or must be used under harsh reaction conditions. Moreover, a mixture of 1,3,5- and 1,2,4-trisubstituted benzene isomers in the resulting HBPs is normally yielded.⁸

During the course of development of new polymerization reactions based on triple-bond building blocks, our groups have succeeded in preparation of a variety of functional HBPs through PCT of diynes in the presence of transition-metal-catalysts.⁹ We have found that PCT of the activated diyne of bis(aryloxyacetylene)s in the presence of an organic catalyst instead of a metallic catalyst could produce regioregular 1,3,5-trisubstituted hyperbranched poly(aryloxyarylene)s.¹⁰ The synthesis of these monomers, however, requires many reaction steps and harsh reaction conditions, which have greatly limited their applications. Therefore, cheaper catalytic systems that could facilitate catalyse the PCT of traditional diynes regioselectively are in great demand.

In general, most of the polymerizations, if not all, are developed from organic reactions of small molecules. However, great efforts should be made to develop an organic reaction into a successful polymerization technique because several important issues have to be addressed seriously, for example the efficiency of the catalyst system, the scope and availability of monomers, the tolerance of the functional group, the optimization of the polymerization conditions, the control of molecular weights, and regio- and stereo-structures as well as the solubility and processability of the resulting polymers. Thus, an ideal organic reaction for this specific purpose must be highly efficient. The recently reported excellent indium chloride (InCl_3)/2-iodophenol-catalyzed cyclotrimerization of alkynes,¹¹ which readily produced solely regioregular 1,3,5-trisubstituted benzene derivatives in high yields,¹² well meets such requirements and is promising to be developed into a

^aMOE Key Laboratory of Macromolecular Synthesis and Functionalization, Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China. E-mail: qinaj@zju.edu.cn, tangbenz@ust.hk

^bGuangdong Innovative Research Team, State Key Laboratory of Luminescent Materials and Devices, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, China

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Institute for Advanced Study, Institute of Molecular Functional Materials, and State Key Laboratory of Molecular Neuroscience, The Hong Kong University of Science & Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed synthetic routes to **1a** and **P1a**; characterization data of **P1a–P1d**; schematic synthetic routes to **1a–1d**; ¹H NMR spectra of model compound; IR, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **P1a–P1d**; tabulated data of optimization of polymerization conditions. See DOI: 10.1039/c4py00859f

new PCT. Specifically, the alkynes used in this reaction were traditional aromatic or aliphatic alkynes. Moreover, InCl_3 is commercially available and much cheaper than many other transition metal complexes. It is worth noting that although InCl_3 has been used for the preparation of linear polymers from alkynes,¹³ as far as we know, there is no report on employing it for the synthesis of HBPs *via* PCT of diynes.

Attracted by the features of this catalytic system and the efficient and regioselective InCl_3 /2-iodophenol catalyzed cycloaddition of alkynes, in this work, we tried to develop it into a facile PCT for the preparation of regioregular hyperbranched polyarylenes. To get familiar with this reaction, we first repeated the organic reaction using phenylacetylene as the reactant (Scheme S1, ESI†). Fig. S1† shows the ^1H NMR spectrum of the crude product which was obtained by merely evaporating the solvent after reaction. The proton resonance of the formed trisubstituted benzene was observed at δ 7.78 as a singlet peak, which belongs to the typical 1,3,5-trisubstituted benzene core. Meanwhile, no 1,2,4-triphenylbenzene isomer was found in the product as no peak was observed below δ 7.2. These results clearly demonstrate that 1,3,5-triphenylbenzene was exclusively formed and the reaction is regioselective.

Encouraged by these exciting results, we applied it for the polymerization of diynes. To test the universality, we designed and synthesized both aliphatic and aromatic diyne monomers (**1a–1d**) (Schemes 1 and S2–S5, ESI†). We first used **1a** to investigate the catalytic performance of anhydride InCl_3 and its tetrahydrate ($\text{InCl}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$) because both of them are commercially available. Table 1 summarizes the polymerization results. The anhydride InCl_3 /2-iodophenol catalyzed PCT of **1a** in chlorobenzene at 130 °C for 24 h under nitrogen readily produced hyperbranched polyarylene with a weight-average molecular weight (M_w) of 13 200 in an excellent yield of 94.0%. Mean-

Table 1 Effect of catalyst on polycyclotrimerization of diyne **1a**^a

Entry	Catalyst	Yield (%)	M_w^b	PDI ^b
1	InCl_3	94.0	13 200	2.46
2	$\text{InCl}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	91.0	13 500	2.47

^a Carried out under nitrogen at 130 °C in chlorobenzene for 24 h; [**1a**] = 0.15 M; [In] = 0.015 M; [2-iodophenol] = 0.10 M. ^b Estimated by gel-permeation chromatography (GPC) in THF on the basis of a polystyrene calibration; PDI = polydispersity index.


while, the $\text{InCl}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ /2-iodophenol catalytic system performed almost the same, a polymer with similar M_w (13 500) was produced in similar yield (91.0%), indicating that the trace amount of water in the reaction system exerts little influence on this polymerization. Moreover, the ^1H NMR measurements of these two products indicate that they possess exactly the same structures (Fig. S2, ESI†). Thus, taking the cost saving and industrial potential into account, $\text{InCl}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was adopted in our following study.

After selecting the catalyst, we systematically investigated the PCT conditions using **1a** as the model diyne monomer. We first followed the time-course of the polymerization in chlorobenzene at 130 °C with a monomer concentration of 0.15 M. The experimental results showed that the M_w values of the products increased from 6700 to 13 200 and the yields enhanced from 20.0 to 94.1% when the reaction time prolonged from 1 to 5 h (Table S1, ESI†). Afterward, the products became partially soluble in commonly used organic solvents such as THF and dichloromethane (DCM). So, 5 h was chosen as the preferable reaction time.

Next, we examined the effect of solvents on the polymerization of **1a**. As reported, the indium catalysts display activity only at elevated temperature. Thus, we carried out the polymerizations in the solvents with boiling point higher than 100 °C. As indicated in Table S2 (ESI†), trace amounts of polymeric products were obtained from the reaction carried out in toluene and DMF, while, polymers with lower M_w values and yields were produced in *o*-xylene and 1,2-dichlorobenzene than that in chlorobenzene. Thus, we chose chlorobenzene as the polymerization solvent.

Since the indium catalyst is sensitive to temperature, we performed the experiments to study the effect of temperature on the polymerization results. We selected three temperatures of 110, 120 and 130 °C to polymerize **1a**. The results display that both M_w values and yields of the products decreased with lowering down the temperature (Table S3, ESI†). Thus, 130 °C is the optimal temperature.

Finally, the component's concentrations were optimized. When keeping other reaction parameters unchanged, the M_w values and yields of the resulting polymers have a tendency of climbing along with increase of the concentration of **1a** from 0.10 to 0.18 M (Table S4, ESI†). However, when **1a** reached 0.18 M, the product became partially soluble. Thus, 0.15 M was regarded as the appropriate concentration for polymerization. Interestingly, the concentration of $\text{InCl}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ exerts less



Scheme 1 Syntheses of regioregular hyperbranched polyarylenes by InCl_3 /2-iodophenol catalyzed polycyclotrimerization of diynes.

effect on the polymerization results especially on the M_w (Table S5, ESI†). When the catalyst concentration increased to 0.015 M, the polydispersity index (PDI) of the product broadened to 3.12, which is much higher than that with a concentration of 0.011 M. Hence, the latter was considered as the optimal concentration.

At last, as an additive component of the catalyst system, the mass of 2-iodophenol was also tested (Table S6, ESI†). It turns out that the pronounced effect on the yields of products was observed upon varying the additive concentration. More additives have led to higher yield, whereas the M_w and PDI of the products have no direct relationship with the additive concentration. When the concentration of 2-iodophenol is 0.13 M, the produced polymer became partially soluble. So, the additive with a concentration of 0.10 M is the best.

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, we first tried to investigate the catalytic mechanism of PCT. Besides the InCl_3 /2-iodophenol system, we also used InCl_3 /iodobenzene, InCl_3 /phenol or InCl_3 to catalyze the reaction. The results showed that the InCl_3 /2-iodophenol system could catalyze the PCT of **1a** to produce a polymer with M_w of 17 200, which is 2.5 and 2.8 times higher than those catalyzed by InCl_3 /iodobenzene and InCl_3 , respectively (Table S7, ESI†). Furthermore, the additive of phenol displays a negative effect on the PCT. By combining the reported hypothetical mechanism, we propose that the 2-iodophenol could act as an oxidant to cleave C–In δ -bonds to generate regioregular benzene-cored polymers and active InCl_3 although additional proof should be collected.¹⁴

Then, we performed the PCT of diynes **1b**, **1c**, and **1d** (Scheme 1 and Table 2) with those optimized conditions. The aliphatic diyne of **1b** could be polymerized to produce polymer **P1b** with M_w of 27 400 after 5 h, but the solubility is poor probably due to its high reactivity. Delightfully, shortening the reaction time to 2 h could furnish a soluble polymer with satisfactory M_w (12 200) in good yield (82.8%). Similarly, when the aromatic **1c** was polycyclotrimerized for 2 h instead of 5 h but keeping other conditions unchanged, soluble **P1c** with M_w of 10 000 could be obtained in high yield (87.8%). However, the carbonyl connected terminal diyne **1d**, a kind of activated alkyne, was hard to get a completely soluble product due to its high reactivity as proven in our previous work.^{10a,b} The M_w of soluble part of **P1d** was measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) to be 5400 after **1d** was

polymerized for 1 h. It should be noted that the GPC calibrated by linear polystyrene standards can significantly underestimate M_w values of hyperbranched polymers.¹⁵ Deffieux *et al.*, for example, found that the relative molecular weights of their hyperbranched polystyrenes estimated by GPC were normally ~7-fold, sometimes even ~30-fold, lower than the absolute values.¹⁶ These results demonstrate not only the universality but also the fidelity of $\text{InCl}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ /2-iodophenol for catalyzing the PCTs of different types of diynes.

The hyperbranched polyarylenes **P1a–P1d** were characterized spectroscopically, and all of the polymers gave analysis data corresponding to their expected molecular structures (see the Experimental section and Fig. S3–S12, ESI† for details). An example of the IR spectrum of **P1a** is shown in Fig. S3 (ESI†). For comparison, its monomer **1a** was also presented. The diyne monomer shows absorption bands at 3305 and 2102 cm^{-1} due to $\equiv\text{C–H}$ and $\text{C}\equiv\text{C}$ stretching, respectively. These bonds are absent in the spectrum of **P1a**, revealing that the triple bonds have been converted to the benzene rings or formed the macrocycles by the PCT. Similar results were observed in the IR spectra of **P1b–P1d** (Fig. S4–S6, ESI†).

The ^1H NMR spectrum of the model compound has proven that solely 1,3,5-regioregular benzene derivate was produced. Similar conclusions could be drawn from the ^1H NMR spectra of hyperbranched polyarylenes. Fig. 1 shows the ^1H NMR spectra of **P1a** and its monomer **1a**. The acetylene proton of its monomer **1a** absorbs at δ 2.90, which almost disappeared after PCT. While, such peaks only become weaker in the ^1H NMR spectra of **P1b–P1d** (Fig. S7–S9, ESI†), implying that the macrocycles may be formed during the PCT of **1a** due to its relatively flexible structure. Meanwhile, the typical peaks of the protons in the newly formed 1,3,5-trisubstituted benzene rings of **P1a–P1d** could be clearly identified in the downfield, manifesting the regioselectivity of the $\text{InCl}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ /2-iodophenol catalyzed

Table 2 Polycyclotrimerizations of diynes **1a–1d**^a

Entry	Monomer	Polymer	Yield (%)	M_w^b	PDI ^b
1 ^c	1a	P1a	94.1	12 600	2.68
2	1b	P1b	82.8	12 200	1.54
3	1c	P1c	87.8	10 000	1.56
4 ^d	1d	P1d	50.0	5400 ^e	1.64

^a Carried out in chlorobenzene at 130 °C under nitrogen for 2 h; $[\text{M}]_0 = 0.15 \text{ M}$; $[\text{In}] = 0.011 \text{ M}$; $[\text{2-iodophenol}] = 0.10 \text{ M}$. ^b Estimated by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) in THF on the basis of a polystyrene calibration; PDI = polydispersity index. ^c The reaction time is 5 h. ^d The reaction time is 1 h. ^e Soluble part.




Fig. 1 ^1H NMR spectra of (A) monomer **1a** and (B) polymer **P1a** in CDCl_3 . The solvent peaks are marked with asterisks.

PCT. The ^{13}C NMR spectra of these polymers further substantiate the conclusions drawn from the ^1H NMR spectra (Fig. S10–S12, ESI†). The important parameter for hyperbranched polymers, *i.e.* degree of branching, is difficult to calculate due to the severe overlapping of the resonances in the ^1H NMR spectra of the polymers.

Thanks to the formed benzene rings, the hyperbranched polyarylenes **P1a–P1d** are thermally stable, of which the temperatures for 5% weight loss are all higher than 295 °C (Fig. S13, ESI†).

Conclusions

A new and facile $\text{InCl}_3/2$ -iodophenol catalyzed PCT of diynes was successfully developed and solely 1,3,5-trisubstituted benzene-cored hyperbranched polyarylenes with high M_w were obtained in good yields. The commercial hydrate InCl_3 could be used to efficiently catalyze this polymerization. Compared to the reported catalytic systems for the PCT of diynes, our reported one is cheaper and could catalyze the polymerization in regioselective fashion. Moreover, the moisture-tolerance ability of this polymerization makes it promising for wide application in preparing functional hyperbranched polymers, which is the on-going project in our group.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (21222402 and 21174120); the key project of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2013CB834702), and the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (604711, 602212 and HKUST2/CRF/10). A.J.Q. and B.Z.T. thank the support from Guangdong Innovative Research Team Program (201101C0105067115).

Notes and references

- (a) D. Yan, C. Gao and H. Frey, *Hyperbranched Polymers: Synthesis, Properties, and Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2011; (b) M. Jikei and M. Kakimoto, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2001, **26**, 1233; (c) P. Froehling, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2004, **42**, 3110; (d) C. Y. K. Chan, J. W. Y. Lam, C. K. W. Jim, H. H. Y. Sung, I. D. Williams and B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules*, 2013, **46**, 9494; (e) W. Wu, S. Ye, L. Huang, G. Yu, Y. Liu, J. Qin and Z. Li, *Chin. J. Polym. Chem.*, 2013, **31**, 1432; (f) J. Khandare, M. Calderón, N. M. Dagia and R. Haag, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 2824; (g) Y. F. Zhou, W. Huang, J. Y. Liu, X. Y. Zhu and D. Y. Yan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 4567; (h) X. Meng, Q. G. He, H. M. Gao and J. G. Gao, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, **22**, 725.
- (a) C. Gao and D. Yan, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2004, **29**, 183; (b) B. J. Voit, *Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2005, **43**, 2679; (c) D. A. Tomalia and J. M. J. Fréchet, *Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2002, **40**, 2719; (d) G. L. Fiore, S. J. Rowan and C. Weder, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 7278; (e) D. Shi, C. Song, Q. Jiang, Z. Wang and B. Ding, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 2533–2535; (f) D. Mecerreyes, E. Huang, T. Magbitang, W. Volksen, C. J. Hawker, V. Y. Lee, R. D. Miller and J. L. Hedrick, *High Perform. Polym.*, 2001, **13**, S11; (g) A. P. Voldt, S. R. Gondi and B. S. Sumerlin, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2007, **60**, 396; (h) K. M. Fitch, L. Zhang, K. L. Kiick and T. M. Reineke, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2008, **19**, 76; (i) B. I. Voit and A. Lederer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 5924; (j) K. Y. Pu, K. Li, J. B. Shi and B. Liu, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 3816; (k) H. Mori and A. H. E. Muller, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2003, **228**, 1; (l) Q. Zhu, F. Qiu, B. S. Zhu and X. Y. Zhu, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 2071.
- (a) J. M. J. Fréchet, M. Henmi, I. Gitsov, S. Aoshima, M. R. Leduc and R. B. Grubbs, *Science*, 1995, **269**, 1080; (b) C. J. Hawker, J. M. J. Fréchet, R. B. Grubbs and J. Dao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 10763; (c) S. G. Gaynor, S. Edelman and K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules*, 1996, **29**, 1079; (d) M. Suzuki, S. Yobbsbida, K. Shiraga and T. Saegusa, *Macromolecules*, 1998, **31**, 1716; (e) A. Sunder, R. Hanselmann, H. Frey and R. Muelhaupt, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **32**, 4240; (f) J. Tolosa, C. Kub and U. H. F. Bunz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 4610.
- (a) D. Yan, Z. Zhou and A. H. E. Mueller, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **32**, 245; (b) C. Cheng, K. L. Wooley and E. Khoshdel, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2005, **43**, 4754; (c) P. F. W. Simon, W. Radke and A. H. E. Muller, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 1997, **18**, 865; (d) K. Matyjaszewski, S. G. Gaynor, A. Kulfan and M. Podwika, *Macromolecules*, 1997, **30**, 5192; (e) D. Baskaran, *Polymer*, 2003, **44**, 2213.
- (a) F. Wang, M. S. Wilson, R. D. Rauh, P. Schottland and J. R. Reynolds, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **33**, 4272; (b) C. Gao and D. Yan, *Macromolecules*, 2001, **34**, 156; (c) T. Emrick, H. T. Chang and J. M. J. Fréchet, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **32**, 6380; (d) S. Russo, A. Boulares, A. da. Rin, A. Marianil and M. E. Cosulich, *Macromol. Symp.*, 1999, **143**, 309; (e) W. B. Wu, S. H. Ye, G. Yu, Y. Q. Liu, J. G. Qin and Z. Li, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2012, **33**, 164; (f) W. Wu, S. Ye, L. Huang, L. Xiao, Y. Fu, Q. Huang, G. Yu, Y. Liu, J. Qin, Q. Li and Z. Li, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 6374; (g) B. D. Mather, K. Viswanathan, K. M. Miller and T. E. Long, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2006, **31**, 487.
- (a) J. Liu, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 5799; (b) M. Häussler and B. Z. Tang, *Adv. Polym. Sci.*, 2007, **209**, 1; (c) M. Häussler, A. Qin; and B. Z. Tang, *Polymer*, 2007, **48**, 6181; (d) H. M. Shih, R. C. Wu, P. I. Shih, C. L. Wang and C. S. Hsu, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2012, **50**, 696; (e) R. Hu, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **214**, 175; (f) A. Qin, C. K. W. Jim, J. W. Y. Lam, J. Z. Sun and B. Z. Tang, *Chin. J. Polym. Sci.*, 2009, **27**, 145.
- For examples, see: (a) A. Takeda, A. Ohno, I. Kadota, V. Gevorgyan and Y. Yamamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 4547; (b) J. Li, H. Jiang and M. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, **66**, 3627; (c) N. Saino, F. Amemiya, E. Tanabe,

- K. Kase and S. Okamoto, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 1439; (d) G. Hilt, T. Vogler, W. Hess and F. Galbiati, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 1474; (e) M. Kakeya, T. Fujihara, T. Kasaya and A. Nagasawa, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 4131.
- 8 (a) J. Liu, R. Zheng, Y. Tang, M. Haussler, J. W. Y. Lam, A. Qin, M. Ye, Y. Hong, P. Gao and B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules*, 2007, **40**, 7473; (b) M. Haussler, J. Liu, R. Zheng, J. W. Y. Lam, A. Qin and B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules*, 2007, **40**, 1914; (c) R. Zheng, M. Haussler, H. Dong, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules*, 2006, **39**, 7973; (d) Z. Li, J. W. Y. Lam, Y. Q. Dong, Y. P. Dong, H. H. Y. Sung, I. D. Williams and B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules*, 2006, **39**, 6458.
- 9 (a) M. Haussler, A. Qin and B. Z. Tang, *Polymer*, 2007, **48**, 24; (b) H. Dong, J. W. Y. Lam, M. Haussler, R. Zheng, H. Peng, C. C. W. Law and B. Z. Tang, *Curr. Trends Polym. Sci.*, 2004, **9**, 15.
- 10 (a) H. Dong, R. Zheng, J. W. Y. Lam, M. Häussler, A. Qin and B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules*, 2005, **38**, 6382; (b) A. Qin, J. W. Y. Lam, H. Dong, W. Lu, C. K. W. Jim, Y. Q. Dong, M. Häussler, H. H. Y. Sung, I. D. Williams, G. K. L. Wong and B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules*, 2007, **40**, 4879; (c) C. K. W. Jim, A. Qin, J. W. Y. Lam, M. Häussler, J. Liu, M. M. F. Yuen, J. K. Kim, K. M. Ng and B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules*, 2009, **42**, 4099.
- 11 Y. L. Xu, Y. M. Pan, Q. Wu, H. S. Wang and P. Z. Liu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 8472.
- 12 Recent reviews for InCl₃-based reactions: (a) B. C. Ranu, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, 2347; (b) F. Fringuelli, O. Piermatti, F. Pizzo and L. Vaccaro, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2003, **7**, 1661; (c) G. Babu and P. T. Perumal, *Aldrichimica Acta*, 2000, **33**, 16; (d) S. A. Babu, *Synlett*, 2002, 531; (e) A. Baba, M. Yasuda, Y. Nishimoto, T. Saito and Y. Onishi, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2008, **80**, 845.
- 13 C. Y. K. Chan, N. W. Tseng, J. W. Y. Lam, J. Liu, R. T. K. Kwok and B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules*, 2013, **46**, 3246.
- 14 J. H. Li, H. F. Jiang and M. C. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, **66**, 3627.
- 15 (a) K. E. Uhrich, C. J. Hawker, J. M. J. Fréchet and S. R. Turner, *Macromolecules*, 1992, **25**, 4583; (b) J. Wang, J. Mei, E. G. Zhao, Z. G. Song, A. J. Qin, J. Z. Sun and B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 7692.
- 16 Z. Muchtar, M. Schappacher and A. Deffieux, *Macromolecules*, 2001, **34**, 7595.